Response to Reviewer 2, third round:

Comments to the Author(s)  
The authors have improved their manuscript. I still feel that results are over interpreted. I still feel that the part related to wild boar in fig.4 should be removed and only mentionned in the results as non significant, because in its present form, I feel the figure 4 is misleading by trying to compare pig and deer.

In Table 1.b the treatment effect for Ochrosia seems to be significant and in contradiction to what is stated in the text (L200).

Moreover I proposed to add the differences among species in fig. 2 but is has not been done.

In Table 2, I still feel that authors should calculate average seedlings par scat only considering the scats where the species germinated but not in all as they did.

L252 wrong : pigs do not disperse more native species than non-native ones (2 natives versus 6 non-natives)

L269 M. citrifolia has an average of 170 (not 120).